

# PLANNING AND ZONING

# **Cerro Gordo County Courthouse**

220 N Washington Ave Mason City, IA 50401-3254
Tom Meyer, Zoning Administrator
Michelle Rush, Assistant Zoning Administrator

(641) 421-3075 FAX (641) 421-3088 plz@cerrogordo.gov

### SPECIAL EXCEPTION STAFF REPORT

**SUMMARY OF REQUEST** 

Case No.: 24-24 Hearing Date: May 27, 2025

**Staff Contact:** Michelle Rush, Assistant Zoning Administrator **Applicant: Owner:** Matt & Melissa Pulis Same

2501 NE Indian Pointe Lee's Summit, MO 64086

Property Address: 15358 5th St, Clear Lake, IA 50428

**<u>Brief Legal Description</u>**: Lots 48 & 48A, Clear Lake Methodist Camp, Clear Lake Township

**Zoning:** R-3 Single Family Residential District

#### **Background**

The applicant is proposing to construct a new 27'-4" x 42'-6" 2.5 story dwelling on the property. This parcel may look familiar. In January of 2024, this Board received a special exception request from the previous owner for a 32'x47' 2.5 story dwelling to be constructed on the property. The Board granted a 9'-2.5" front yard setback, a 24' rear yard setback, and 3' east and west side yard setbacks. The site plan did not meet the Bell Harbor Homeowners Association rules, and the dwelling was not constructed.

SPECIAL EXCEPTION REQUEST				
Structure	Request(s)	Requirement(s)		
27'-4"x42'-6" 2.5	15' front yard setback	18'-4" front yard setback average		
story dwelling	15' rear yard setback	30' rear yard setback is required		

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. Matt & Melissa Pulis the owners of the subject property.
- 2. The property is zoned R-3 Single Family Residential District.
- 3. The proposed dwelling will be 15' from the front lot line, and 15' from the rear lot line.
- 4. An 18.4' front yard setback is required, per the average of front yard setbacks within 200'. A 30' rear yard setback is required in the R-3 District.
- 5. The required 6' side yard setbacks will be maintained.
- 6. The application was filed on April 23, 2025.
- 7. The lot is part of the Bell Harbor Homeowners Association.

### **ANALYSIS**

The Board of Adjustment is provided the power to grant special exceptions under Section 24.4(A)(2) of the Zoning Ordinance. The Board may grant special exceptions to bulk standards of the ordinance if, in its judgement, the standards established in Section 24.4(A)(2)(a) are met. In its review, the Board may attach certain conditions to any special exception granted in order to observe the spirit of the Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan and mitigate any potential impacts that may directly result from the requested special exception.

### **Discussion of Standards of Review**

1. Strict compliance with the standards governing setback, frontage, height, or other bulk provisions of this ordinance would result in a practical difficulty upon the owner of such property and only where such exception does not exceed 50 percent of the particular limitation or number in question.

The lot depth is approximately 70' and the lot width is 40' which is typical in this area. The proposed dwelling will be 15' from the rear lot line and 15' from the front lot line. The 15' rear yard setback is equal to 50 percent of the required 30' rear yard setback. The proposed 15' front yard setback does not exceed 50 percent of the respective 18.4' required front yard setback average. An exception is likely needed to build a dwelling on this lot. The standard appears to be met.

The exception relates entirely to a permitted use (principal, special, or accessory)
classified by applicable district regulations, or to a permitted sign or off-street parking
or loading areas accessory to such a permitted use.

A single-family dwelling is a principal permitted use in the R-3 District. The standard appears to be met.

 The practical difficulty is due to circumstances specific to the property and prohibits the use of the subject property in a manner reasonably similar to that of other property in the same district.

The practical difficulty is primarily due to the size of the lot, preventing a dwelling to be built within minimum front and rear yard requirements. The proposed house is roughly consistent with the general size of houses in the vicinity. The stand appears to be met.

4. A grant of the special exception applied for, or a lesser relaxation of the restriction than applied for, is reasonably necessary due to practical difficulties related to the land in question and would do substantial justice to an applicant as well as to other property owners in the locality.

As previously stated, the size of the lot prevents a reasonably sized dwelling to be constructed on the lot. The standard appears to be met.

5. Such practical difficulties cannot be overcome by any feasible alternative means other than an exception.

Although the lot is only 40' wide, the applicant is complying with the required 6' side yard setbacks to construct the proposed 27.4' wide dwelling. Due to the 70' lot depth and the

required 30' rear yard setback and 18.4' average front yard setback, an exception is likely needed to construct the dwelling. The standard appears to be met.

## Relief can be granted in a manner that will not alter the essential character of the locality.

The proposed dwelling will not alter the character of the neighborhood. Of the two lots to the west of the Pulis property and the three lots to the east of the Pulis property, the Pulis lot is the smallest. Two of the dwellings are single story dwellings and three of the dwellings are two-story dwellings. The square footage of the dwellings range from 1172 square feet to 2193 square feet. The Pulis proposed dwelling is 1167 square feet in size. The standard appears to be met.

## **Discussion of Potential Impacts to Immediate Area**

The proposed dwelling is similar in size to other dwellings in the area on similar 40' wide lots. The proposed dwelling is generally in line with other dwellings along 5<sup>th</sup> Street. The parcel immediately south of the Pulis lot contains a garage. The applicant's request complies with the requirements of the Bell Harbor Homeowner's Association. There will be no significant impacts to the immediate area.

## **Staff Conclusions and Recommendation**

The proposed dwelling appears to meet all six standards of review.

### **BOARD DECISION**

The Board of Adjustment may consider the following alternatives:

#### Alternatives

- 1. Grant the requested special exceptions subject to any conditions as deemed necessary by the Board.
- 2. Grant relief less or different from the requested special exception by modifying the requested special exception.
- 3. Deny the requested special exception.

The following motions are provided for the Board's consideration:

### <u>Provided motion of approval:</u>

- I move to adopt the staff report as the Board's findings and to approve the special exception as requested by Matt & Melissa Pulis, subject to the following conditions:
  - 1. All construction shall comply with the site plan submitted with the application on April 23, 2025.
  - 2. No construction shall begin until a Zoning Permit has been issued by the Planning and Zoning Office.

### Provided Alternate Action:

• I move to adopt the staff report as the Board's findings and to approve a special exception with the following changes (list changes)

1. No construction shall begin until a Zoning Permit has been issued by the Planning and Zoning Office.

### <u>Provided motion of denial:</u>

• I move to adopt the staff report as the Board's findings and to deny the special exception as requested by Matt & Melissa Pulis for the following reasons: [STATE REASONS FOR DENIAL]

## **EXHIBITS**

• Exhibit 1: Figures 1-6 photos

• Exhibit 2: Special Exception Application dated April 23, 2025

• Exhibit 3: Site Plan

• Exhibit 4: Parcel Highlight

Figure 1 – Looking at proposed building site from 5<sup>th</sup> Street



Figure 2 – Looking at proposed building site from the lake

Figure 3 – Looking at proposed 6' west side setback in relation to neighbor's house



15 358 I

Figure 4 – Looking at proposed front yard setback of 15' in relation to neighbor's house

Figure 5 – Looking at proposed 15' rear yard setback in relation to neighbor's house to the west



Figure 6 – Looking at proposed 6' east side yard setback



# **SPECIAL EXCEPTION APPEAL**

# **APPLICATION**

Date Filed 4 2 2 2 Date Set for Hearing	ng	∠	nber: 44-24
Applicant Name: Matt & Melissa Pulis	Phone: <u>816</u> -	-519-3355	E-Mail: mpulis@istrc.com
Mailing Address: 2501 NE Indian Pointe Lee's Summit MO 64086			
Property Owner Name: Matt & Melissa Pulis	Phone: <u>816</u> -	-519-3355	E-Mail: mpulis@istrc.com
Property Owner Address: <u>15358 5<sup>th</sup> Street Clear Lake Iowa</u>			
Property Description (Not to be used on legal documen	i <b>ts):</b> Par	cel 05224030240	Township <u>001</u>
Property Address: <u>15358 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Bell Harbor, Clear Lake Iowa</u>		Zonir	ng: Residental – R3
Brief Legal Description: Lot 48 & 48A Bell Harbor Clear Lake Method	dist Camp		
Project Description	0 6	Decis	sion Date:
New Single Family Home on current open lot – approximately 290	o sq. rt.		
Special Exception(s) Requested (As cited on results from denied Zo			
To build a home with front Setback of 15 feet from property line s	treet & Rear Set	backs to 15 feet to	rear property line.
Criteria Justifying Special Exception under Standards for Review (Y			
The depth of the lot is approximately 71'. The practical difficulty i requirements of 30 Lake side (rear) and 18'4" front it would not al	llow for construc	ction of a home to	today's standards or
comparable to other houses in the area. The house would be placed fact, the front & rear are approximately 2 to 3 inches inside the programme of the programme.			
placement to previous structure should not change views of the la	ıke.		
I am the $f X$ Owner $f \Box$ Contract Purchaser $f \Box$ Other (Ex	plain)	of the propert	ry affected.
I, the applicant, being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the owner, or to who makes the accompanying application; that the application and plan ar work, and use to which the structure is to be placed if a special exception is the above property in reviewing this Application.	e true and contain	a correct description	of the proposed building, lot,
Applicant Signature Matt Talk Date	04/23/2025		



