

PLANNING AND ZONING

Cerro Gordo County Courthouse

220 N Washington Ave Mason City, IA 50401-3254
Tom Meyer, Zoning Administrator
Michelle Rush, Assistant Zoning Administrator

(641) 421-3075 FAX (641) 421-3088 plz@cgcounty.org

SPECIAL EXCEPTION STAFF REPORT

SUMMARY OF REQUEST

<u>Case No.</u>: 24-17 <u>Hearing Date</u>: October 29, 2024

Staff Contact:Michelle Rush, Assistant Zoning AdministratorApplicant:Owner:Larry & Julie UbbenSame

7322 Hudson Heights Hudson, IA 50643

Property Address: 15290 Pascal St, Clear Lake, IA 50428

Brief Legal Description: Lot 5, Block 1, PM Park, Clear Lake Township

Zoning: R-3 Single Family Residential District

Background

The applicant proposes to construct a 15'x7' addition extending south from the original cabin (in line with the back of the driveway) which will include a bedroom and a bathroom. The Ubben's were granted a variance in 2019 for the 15'x12' sunroom on the rear of the cabin to be 12' from the rear (west) lot line. The proposed addition will be 24' from the rear (west) lot line and 11' from the shed.

SPECIAL EXCEPTION REQUEST		
Structure	Request(s)	Requirement(s)
15'x7' addition	24' rear yard setback	30' rear yard setback (11.6C)

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Larry & Julie Ubben are the owners of the subject property.
- 2. The property is zoned R-3 Single Family Residential District.
- 3. The proposed addition will be 24' from the rear lot line.
- 4. A 30' rear yard setback is required in the R-3 District.
- 5. The application was filed on October 1, 2024 with the Planning & Zoning Office.

ANALYSIS

The Board of Adjustment is provided the power to grant special exceptions under Section 24.4(A)(2) of the Zoning Ordinance. The Board may grant special exceptions to bulk standards of the ordinance if, in its judgement, the standards established in Section 24.4(A)(2)(a) are met. In its review, the Board may attach certain conditions to any special exception granted in order to observe the spirit of the Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan and mitigate any potential impacts that may directly result from the requested special exception.

Discussion of Standards of Review

 Strict compliance with the standards governing setback, frontage, height, or other bulk provisions of this ordinance would result in a practical difficulty upon the owner of such property and only where such exception does not exceed 50 percent of the particular limitation or number in question.

The proposed addition will be 24' from the rear lot line, which is further than 50 percent of the requirement. All other setbacks in the R-3 Single Family Residential District are met. The standard appears to be met.

The exception relates entirely to a permitted use (principal, special, or accessory)
classified by applicable district regulations, or to a permitted sign or off-street parking
or loading areas accessory to such a permitted use.

The proposed addition will add additional living space and is considered a part of the dwelling, which is a principal permitted use in the R-3 District. The standard appears to be met.

3. The practical difficulty is due to circumstances specific to the property and prohibits the use of the subject property in a manner reasonably similar to that of other property in the same district.

Most of the lots in Block 1 are 40'x70' in size. Due to the required setbacks in the R-3 District, most improvements would require a special exception to be accomplished. This improvement to the dwelling would not be able to be completed without a special exception. As a result, the standard appears to be met.

4. A grant of the special exception applied for, or a lesser relaxation of the restriction than applied for, is reasonably necessary due to practical difficulties related to the land in question and would do substantial justice to an applicant as well as to other property owners in the locality.

The existing house sits 6' from the north side lot line. The south side of the cabin to the lot line is covered by a gravel driveway. There is a shed located in the southwest corner of the lot. There is no alternate location for the proposed addition. The standard appears to be met.

Such practical difficulties cannot be overcome by any feasible alternative means other than an exception. As stated above, there is no alternate feasible location for the proposed addition on the property. The standard appears to be met.

6. Relief can be granted in a manner that will not alter the essential character of the locality.

The proposed addition will not alter the character of the neighborhood. It will remain a single-family dwelling. The standard appears to be met.

Discussion of Potential Impacts to Immediate Area

There are no foreseeable negative impacts due to the proposed addition. The addition will only extend 7' from the house. The addition will not be in line with the rear of the cabin. The existing shed is closer to the south side lot line than the proposed addition.

Staff Conclusions and Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of the request. All standards of review appear to have been met, and there are no foreseeable negative impacts as a direct result of the proposed addition.

BOARD DECISION

The Board of Adjustment may consider the following alternatives:

Alternatives

- 1. Grant the requested special exception subject to any conditions as deemed necessary by the Board.
- 2. Grant relief less or different from the requested special exception.
- 3. Deny the requested special exception.

The following motions are provided for the Board's consideration:

Provided motion of approval:

- I move to adopt the staff report as the Board's findings and to approve the special exceptions as requested by Larry & Julie Ubben, subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. All construction shall comply with the site plan submitted with the application.
 - 2. No construction shall begin until a Zoning Permit has been issued by the Planning and Zoning Office.

Provided motion of denial:

 I move to adopt the staff report as the Board's findings and to deny the special exception as requested by Larry & Julie Ubben for the following reasons: [STATE REASONS FOR DENIAL]

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 1: Figures 1-4 photos
- Exhibit 2: Special Exception Application and Site Plan
- Exhibit 3: Parcel Highlight



Figure 2 – Proposed location of 7x15 addition indicated by red flags





Figure 4 – Looking at distance between Ubben dwelling and dwelling to the South



SPECIAL EXCEPTION APPEAL

APPLICATION

Date Filed 10-1-24 Date Set for Hearing 10-29-24 Case Number: 24-17		
Applicant Name: Larry & Julie Ubben Phone: 319-404-1012 E-Mail: Juben 59 Mailing Address: 7372 Hudson Heights Hudson JA 50(4)3 Property Owner Name: Lasry Ubben Julie Ubbenione: 319-404-1012 E-Mail: Julien 59 Property Owner Address: 7272 Hudson Heights Hudson JA 50(4) Property Owner Address: 7272 Hudson Heights Hudson JA 50(4) Township		
Mailing Address: 7372 Hudson Heights Hudson JA SOLH3		
Property Owner Name: Lascy Ubasan Julie Ubbrione: 319-404-1012 E-Mail: Julien Sta		
Property Owner Address: 7222 Hudson Heights Hudson It South		
Property Description (Not to be used on legal documents).		
Property Address: 15290 Pascal St Clear Lake DA zoning: 23		
Brief Legal Description: L5 BIK 1 PM Park Size Family Home		
Project Description 7'x 15' addition in South side Decision Date: of property, extending South from original Casin prior to Sunroom addition. Special Exception(s) Requested (As cited on results from denied Zoning Permit Application)		
of property, extending South from original Casin		
Prior de Surroom adlitien.		
Special Exception(s) Requested (As cited on results from denied Zoning Permit Application)		
hequest exception to Sextrack in West Side of Cabin (real world)		
Criteria Justifying Special Exception under Standards for Review (You may add more details in the Additional Information)		
Addition would extend south & not exceed the distance		
to the west property line than the current loriginal		
Cash prior to the 12'x16' Surroum addition. Surroom		
addition was approved on West color of cash man		
addition was approved on West side of Cash previous in 2019. Lequest does not exceed 5020 reacqued am the Downer of the property affected. Addition is previously use		
om the Owner Contract Purchaser Other (Explain)		
of the property affected. Addition is peintfed use		
the applicant, being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the owner, or that I am authorized and empowered to make affidavit for the owner, no makes the accompanying application; that the application and plan are true and contain a correct description of the proposed building, lot, ork, and use to which the structure is to be placed if a special exception is granted. The Planning & Zoning staff is also given permission to enter		
above property in reviewing this Application.		
Applicant Signature Auto Ushen Date 11/1/24		



